The simultaneous effect of electromagnetic and ultrasound treatments on *Escherichia coli* count in red grape juice
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**Abstract**

**Introduction:** The thermal pasteurization is a common method for maintaining fruit juice and increasing shelf life, but the thermal processing changes the flavor and color of the products. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of a new method of combining heat and ultrasound on the number of the *Escherichia coli* present in grape juice.

**Methods:** In this study, the effects of the microwave power, temperature, ultrasound power and ultrasonic exposure time were evaluated on *E. coli* count of red grape juice. In order to determine the microbial inactivation by microwave and ultrasound, *E. coli* at a concentration of 6×10⁶ per mL was inoculated to red grape juice.

**Results:** The effects of microwave power, grape juice temperature, ultrasound power and ultrasonic exposure time on the reduction of *E. coli* were significant (*P*< 0.05). The model showed that in reducing *E. coli* the importance of the final temperature of the juice was higher than the microwave power. In addition, the ultrasonic power was more effective in *E. coli* reduction as compared to the microwave power.

**Conclusion:** Both sample temperature and ultrasonic duration were important independent variables and effective factors on *E. coli* reduction.

**Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:**
Considering the benefits of grape juice, including blood purification, treatment of lung, kidney and skin diseases, its pasteurization processing and production are of special importance. Pasteurize grape juice in optimal conditions, a short time and better quality using a system consisting of microwave and ultrasound device to reduce the harmful effects of high temperatures will be valuable for the food industry.


**Introduction**

Nowadays in the food industry in order to deactivate enzymes and microorganisms and increase a shelf life of fruit juices, the high temperature and the short time in pasteurization is used. The high temperature of pasteurization has the undesirable effects on nutrients and the nutritional value of food, including the loss of vitamins, non-enzymatic browning, transformed protein and the loss of taste food (1,2). As mentioned, all thermal methods give rise to reducing the qualitative properties (vitamins, anthocyanin, etc) of fruit juices. For this purpose, researchers have been looking for solutions that by combining new thermal and non-thermal approaches, the damaging effects of conventional pasteurization be removed. The proposed approach can be non-thermal methods such as ultrasound waves, high hydrostatic pressure, electric field and the mixed methods (3-7). Usually, in some new ways, the nature of the effect of heat is changed. For example, the microwave and Ohmic methods are used for heating the products. These methods may have different effects on the pasteurizing products as compared with other methods because of their heating
procedure (8,9). The ultrasound is one of the non-thermal methods suggested in the literature. Using high-intensity ultrasound in the industrial processing is generally based on the proper use of a series of mechanisms activated by the ultrasonic energy, such as heat, agitation, diffusion, interface instabilities, friction and chemical effects (10). Researchers analyzed the effects of ultrasound waves and mild heat on the active and passive microorganisms of orange juice during treatment and after treatment. They found that the pulp of fruit juice increased the microbes’ resistance to the ultrasound waves. In addition, they proposed the use of ultrasound combined with other approaches to reduce the microbial activity and increase storage time (11).

In a study, the treatments of tomato juice using the thermal ultrasound and conventional heating at the same temperature of ultrasound were compared. The results showed that for inactivating pectin methyl esterase by 90% the amounts of time in applied ultrasound were 41.8, 11.7 and 4.3 minutes and in the case of thermal, conventional treatments were 90.1, 23.5 and 3.5 minutes (12).

One of the thermal methods, having a different mechanism compared to the direct thermal method, is the use of microwaves. Basically the microwave waves with wavelengths between 0.001 and 1 m, and a frequency of approximately 300-300 000 MHz, are part of the electromagnetic spectrum. Since the direction of the magnetic field at the frequencies used millions of times per second is changed, therefore the molecules that have a polar nature, are influenced by such fluctuations and for coordination with the fluctuations swing their poles. This permanent swing gives rise to producing friction and heat, which the heating mechanism is different from the conventional thermal methods (3,13).

According to the literature, studies on the use of such methods in order to eliminate microorganisms have been performed. However, evaluating the effect of ultrasound and microwave waves has recently been considered simultaneously (2,10). In this study, we tried using a system consisting of microwave and ultrasound device to reduce the harmful effects of high temperatures and Pasteurize grape juice in optimal conditions, a short time and better quality.

One of welcome fruit juices is grape juice. It has many properties, including blood purification, treatment of lung, kidney and skin diseases. Considering the benefits and importance of fruit juices, especially grape juice, its processing and production are of special importance. Hence, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of the novel mixed method of heat-ultrasound on the number of Escherichia coli in the grape juice.

Material and Method
Sample preparation
In this study, an ultrasound generator with a maximum power of 400 W and a frequency of 20±0.5 kHz, made in Ultrasonic Technology Development Co. in Iran, was used. First, a certain amount of red grapes was prepared from the local markets. After rinsing, drying, and seeds removing, grape juice was extracted with an electric juicer. In order to separate the suspended pulp particles and tissue components, the extracted juice was centrifuged for 20 minutes at 6000 rpm. To fully separate the remaining suspended particles, the transparent part of the extract was passed through a paper filter using a vacuum pump (10). Then, the samples were poured into a reactor with diameter and height of 80 and 50 mm, respectively. It should be noted that the dimensions of the reactor in pre-trials were optimized. The schematic of the device used is presented in Figure 1.

Preparation of microbial suspensions
Once the lyophilized standard strain of E. coli vial was opened, it was cultivated on an agar nutrient culture. Therefore, a loop of grown microbial strain on agar was inoculated to a 25 mL nutrient liquid culture under sterile conditions in order to prepare the microbial suspension. This was kept in an oven for 18–24 hours at 37°C. The liquid culture containing the grown cells was centrifuged for 5 minutes at 8000 rpm (7656 g) so that the resulting cellular mass was suspended in sterile grape juice. A total of 3 mL of this suspension was inoculated to 300 mL of sour cherry juice. For adaptation purpose, it was held for 15–30 minutes before the deactivation. It should be noted that the cultures used for primary activation and proliferation included nutrient solid/liquid cultures (14).

It is worth noting that microbial level of E. coli (PTCC 5052) was obtained from Iran Industrial and Scientific Research Organization.

Processing methods and statistical analysis
The residual number of E. coli and energy consumption for reducing one cycle of E. coli were defined as two...
dependent variables and the ultrasonic power, ultrasonic exposure time, microwave power and temperature of the sample were considered as independent variables. In this study, using the response surface method (RSM) and the central composite design (Table 1) the optimal conditions to minimize microorganisms and energy consumption of grape juice during the pasteurization process were determined.

To carry out the experiments, first, the inoculated grape juice was poured into the system tank. The experimental treatments were set according to Table 1. Then, by adjusting a level meter, 200 mL of grape juice was obtained for each test. The sample was heated in a microwave device to the desired temperature, and then, it was poured into the ultrasonic reactor. During treating with ultrasound the temperature of the sample was fixed by the laboratory equipment. Finally, the treated sample to determine the amount of reduced E. coli was removed from the system. It should be noted that in all phases of the experiment, the power consumed by a watt meter (TROTEC, BX11 model) was recorded.

### Results

As analysis of variance (ANOVA) for quadratic model shows, the model of data obtained from the reduction of E. coli in the sample of the fruit juice was significant. In addition, the significant lack of fit index also indicated the efficiency of the resulting model (Table 2). Adjusted R-squared and coefficient of variation (CV) for the model were equal to 0.97 and 2.25, respectively.

The results of ANOVA for the amount of E. coli (Table 2) show that, except for the coefficient multiplied by the ultrasonic power and time, other coefficients of variables in the model are statistically significant at the 10% level. As seen, some coefficients of the model were not significant and were removed to simplify the fitted model. As a result, the final equation was obtained as equation (5).

\[
\log(N/N_0) = 2.2007 + 3.54240E-003 \times MP - 0.07098 \times T - 0.027299 \times UP - 0.23108 \times t - 6.77205E-005 \times MP \times T + 7.76669E-006 \times MP \times UP + 5.96446E-005 \times MP \times t + 0.138662E-004 \times MP \times UP \times 4.52326E-003 \times T \times T + 7.14539E-004 \times UP \times T - 2.91249E-006 \times MP^2 + 2.73002E-004 \times T^2 + 2.37533E-005 \times UP^2 - 0.026152 \times t^2
\]

And the final equation in terms of coded factors was obtained as follows:

\[
\log(N/N_0) = -3.25 + 3.553E-003 \times MP - 0.32 \times T - 0.29 \times UP - 0.10 \times MP \times T + 0.093 \times MP \times UP + 8.974E-003 \times MP \times t + 0.11 \times T \times UP + 0.045 \times T \times t + 0.57 \times UP \times t - 0.066 \times MP^2 + 0.027 \times T^2 + 0.15 \times UP^2 - 0.026 \times t^2
\]

Where \(N_0\) and \(N\) were primary and secondary counts, MP was microwave power (W), T was the sample temperature (°C), UP was ultrasonic power (W), and t was the ultrasonic exposure time (min). As equations show the temperature is more important than other parameters on E. coli reduction.

Figure 2 shows the proper adaptation of experimental data and data obtained from the model.

Also, the analysis of variance results for the second order model shows that data from the E. coli count reduction of 1 kJ energy consumption (was named ERE) was statistically significant. The adjusted coefficient of determination and CV of the model were 0.99 and 4.6, respectively. The results of the ANOVA for cycle/energy are shown in Table 3. The final relations in terms of the actual factors and coded factors were obtained as equations 7 and 8, respectively:

\[
ERE = + 0.28935 - 6.76471E-004 \times MP - 5.54457E-003 \times T + 1.21206E-003 \times UP + 8.17251E-003 \times t + 5.57457E-004 \times MP \times T - 0.093 \times MP \times UP + 8.974E-003 \times MP \times t + 0.11 \times T \times UP + 0.045 \times T \times t + 0.57 \times UP \times t - 0.066 \times MP^2 + 0.027 \times T^2 + 0.15 \times UP^2 - 0.026 \times t^2
\]

---

**Table 1.** Experimental range and levels of independent variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>-α</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>+α</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microwave power (W)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound power (W)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonic exposure time (min)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** ANOVA of the coefficients of quadratic model in the response surface method for the amount of E. coli

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave power</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.027E-004</td>
<td>0.8110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonic power</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave power\times Temperature</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave power\times Ultrasonic power</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave power\times Time</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.281E-003</td>
<td>0.6242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature\times Ultrasonic power</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature\times Time</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.0258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonic power\times Time</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature\times Microwave power</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature\times Temperature</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.1341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonic power\times Ultrasonic power</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time\times Microwave power</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.1498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Fit</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.9918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Error</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.596E-003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cor Total</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3. ANOVA results for second order coefficients using response

surface method for energy consumption (ERE)

Source    df    Mean square    P value

Model    14    3.107E-003    0.0001
Microwave power    1    0.027    0.0001
Temperature    1    8.045E-003    0.0001
Ultrasonic power    1    3.096E-003    0.0001
Time    1    1.101E-004    0.0573
Microwave power* Temperature    1    1.119E-003    0.0001
Microwave power* Ultrasonic power    1    7.276E-004    0.0002
Microwave power* Time    1    9.054E-006    0.5580
Temperature* Ultrasonic power    1    7.524E-004    0.0001
Temperature* Time    1    5.558E-005    0.1612
Ultrasonic power* Time    1    6.911E-005    0.1218
Microwave power* Microwave power    1    1.328E-003    0.0001
Temperature* Temperature    1    3.811E-004    0.0021
Ultrasonic power* Ultrasonic power    1    4.318E-004    0.0013
Time* Time    1    2.833E-005    0.3074
Residual    12    2.493E-005
Lack of Fit    10    2.960E-005    0.6234
Pure Error    2    1.576E-006
Cor Total    26    6.01

for E. coli count reduction of 1 kJ energy consumption.

The importance of the final temperature of grape juice in

reducing E. coli is higher than the microwave power that

causes the temperature. The increase in the temperature

led to an increase in the slope of the E. coli reduction.

However, the increased microwave power had a lower slope

than the temperature. At low temperatures, increasing the

microwave power had no effect on the reduction of E. coli.

Equation 5 shows that the product of the microwave

power and temperature is a negative coefficient, indicating

that by increasing either of two independent variables

referenced, the result of the equation 5 would be a larger

negative number, indicating a greater reduction in E. coli.

Furthermore, the effect of the microwave power on ERE

was more than the effect of temperature. As shown in

Figure 4b, increase in the microwave power from 350 W

to 650 W in a cycle led to 50% reduction in ERE, while

increasing the temperature from 30°C to 50°C resulted

in 40% reduction in ERE.

The ultrasound power had a higher impact on E. coli

reduction in grape juice as compared to the microwave

power (Figures 4c).

Increasing the ultrasound power from 160 W to 320 W caused 80% increase in ERE, but

increasing the microwave power led to 50% decrease in ERE. Hence, it can be concluded that reducing the number of microorganisms in a cycle for each kJ of ultrasound energy consumption has been increased as compared to microwave method (Figure 4d).

As shown in Figures 4c, 4e and 4g, by increasing the ultrasound power and the irradiation time, the slope of E. coli reduction is more than the situation in which the microwave power is increasing. By increasing the ultrasound exposing time the number of E. coli in grape juice decreases (Figure 4e).

Increased ultrasound energy consumption by reducing the microbial number in a cycle was more effective as compared to increasing the treatment time with ultrasound. It can be seen in Figure 4 that with doubling the ultrasound energy consumption, on average, a 30%
increase in ERE was obtained, while with a two-fold increase in the treatment time no considerable change in ERE was observed. Both the sample temperature and the ultrasonic irradiation time are the important and effective independent variables in E. coli reduction (Figure 4g). An approximately two-fold increase in temperature has led to a 37% decrease in ERE. However, increased ultrasound power has the increasing slope. Hence, it can be said that increased ultrasound power can increase the system performance. The result can also be derived from equation 5 with respect to the negative sign of the coefficient of product for ultrasound power and temperature (Figure 4h).

Finally, the process was optimized. The objective function was obtained in the form of equation 5. The purpose of this optimization was to obtain the conditions of the independent variables (microwave power, sample temperature, ultrasound power and ultrasound treatment duration) in which the amount of E. coli in the sample would be equal to zero with the lowest energy consumption.

Another boundary condition for the optimization can be noted by the scope of the independent variables. In this study, the same experimental scope was considered. Table 4 shows the boundary conditions of process optimization: At the optimum point, microwave power, sample temperature, ultrasound power and ultra-sonication time were equal to 350 W, 30 °C, 320 W and 5 minutes, respectively. After the experimental test at the optimum point, the number of E. coli was equal to zero, confirming the optimum point determined. It should be noted that energy consumption in the process was equal to 64.428 kJ/L, which the share of energy consumption of ultrasound device is negligible compared to the microwave. The amount of energy consumption can be approximated the desired value with changes in waves on the microwave, giving rise to focusing the waves.

**Discussion**

When the temperature increased to 50°C by increasing the microwave power, the reduction of E. coli was higher...
In this study, the effect of microwave and ultrasound on the chemical and qualitative properties of grape juice was not studied. However, the literature review shows that the mechanism of microorganisms' inactivation, which is essentially based on the physical and chemical characteristics of products, has different effects on the chemical and qualitative properties of products. Their chemical effects are free radicals such as hydroxyl and hydrogen radicals (20,23).

In a study, it was shown that any increase in the microwave power, the ultrasonic power, and ultrasound time resulted in a significant decrease in the vitamin C content in cherry juice but the effect of the ultrasonic power was greater than the other factors (10).

In a research, the combined effect of ultrasound, heat and light pulses on the inactivation of *E. coli* and qualitative characteristics of apple juice was studied. In this study, the effects of four levels of energy, and light pulses, heat and ultrasound were investigated, which the highest amounts of destroying microorganisms were for ultrasonic, temperature and light pulses, respectively. All treatments caused to change the color of apple juice. The order of the applied treatments had a significant effect on changing the color of the juice. It was also found that different levels of applied energy were ineffective in measuring the qualitative attributes of the apple juice (24).

In a study on orange juice, a significant reduction in the amount of ascorbic acid as a function of sound energy density and ultrasound time was done. It was reported that the amount of decreased ascorbic acid was less than 5% in the higher density and time applied. In addition, in this study, it was found that the amount of residual ascorbic acid using ultrasound was better than the conventional thermal methods (25).

### Conclusion

Microwave power, fruit juice temperature, ultrasound power, and ultrasonic exposure time were effective in the number of *E. coli* and ERE. The importance of the final temperature of the grape juice in reduced *E. coli* was higher than the microwave power that causes the temperature. The effect of microwave power on ERE was more than the effect of temperature. The ultrasound power was more effective in reducing *E. coli* in grape juice as compared to

### Table 4. Boundary conditions for optimizing of the process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Lower limit</th>
<th>Upper limit</th>
<th>Lower weight</th>
<th>Upper weight</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microwave power (W)</td>
<td>In range</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>In range</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonic power (W)</td>
<td>In range</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasonic exposure time (min)</td>
<td>In range</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em> count</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>2.1008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (KJ)</td>
<td>Minimize</td>
<td>13.044</td>
<td>53.0525</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERE</td>
<td>Maximize</td>
<td>0.0645226</td>
<td>0.200751</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the microwave power. In addition, the reduction of the microbial number in a cycle for each kJ of ultrasound energy increased as compared microwave energy. With increasing the duration of treatment with ultrasound, the number of E. coli in grape juice decreased. The increased duration of treatment increased the number of periods of audio streams in the reactor and the effect of ultrasound waves on E. coli. In addition, increased consumption of the ultrasound energy was more effective in reduction of the microbial number in a cycle as compared to increased ultrasound treatment time and increased ultrasound power consumption. Both sample temperature and ultrasonic duration were important independent variables and effective factors on E. coli reduction. Finally, the combination method can decrease E. coli count successfully, so the authors suggest the mentioned method in food processing.
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